



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,196	04/21/2000	Stephen G. Perlman	14531.27.2.2	6989
47973 7.	590 01/21/2005	•	EXAMINER	
	NYDEGGER/MICR	NGUYEN, CHAU T		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE O	CITY, UT 84111		2176	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/557,196	PERLMAN, STEPHEN G.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	July 2004.				
	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	∆ □ l=4== ::	(DTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment, filed on 07/22/2004, has been entered. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtz, Patent No. 5,574,440, in view of Macrae et al. (Macrae), Patent No. 6,745,391.
- 4. As to claims 1, 5, 6, 8-9, and 11-12, Kurtz discloses in a home entertainment system including a central device coupled to a plurality of electronics devices, wherein the plurality of electronics devices includes a display device and a descrambler, and wherein the central device manages the operation of the plurality of electronics devices,

Art Unit: 2176

a method for tuning channels that are requested by a user for display on the display device, the method comprising the steps for:

receiving user input at the central device, wherein the user input selects a channel that corresponds to a signal carrying programming, and wherein the signal is received by the entertainment system (Abstract, col. 1, line 11 – col. 2, line 7, col. 3, lines 15-39, and Fig. 1: switching apparatus 10 (the central device) is employed with an entertainment installation having a cable signal passing through a cable convert box (descrambler), and user can use a remote control to select a channel);

determining at the central device whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled (Abstract, col. 2, line 37 – col. 3, line 11, col. 4, line 47 – col. 5, line 21 and Fig. 1: the central device has green and red light emitting diodes (LEDs) which indicate the signal is scrambled (premium) or non-scrambled (non premium));

if the signal is determined to be scrambled, performing the steps for:

routing the scrambled signal from the central device to the descrambler (col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 12, col. 4, line 47 – col. 6, line 18, and Fig. 1 & Fig. 2: the premium signal is output to a converter box (descrambler)); and using the descrambler to descramble and tune to one or more channels of the scrambled signal for display on the device (col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 12, col. 4, line 47 – col. 6, line 18, and Fig. 1 & Fig. 2: the output of a cable converter box suited for a cable ready TV tuning system); and

if the signal is determined to be non scrambled, performing the step for:

using an internal tuner at the central device to tune to one or more channels of the non-scrambled signal for display on the display device (col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 12, col. 4, line 47 – col. 6, line 18, and Fig. 1 & Fig. 2: where the signal source selected is a non-premium (non-scramble) channel input, the viewer is provided the use of all the various built-in programming (tuner) and television receiver).

However, Kurt does not explicitly disclose using the electronic programming guide data stored at the central device to determine whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled. Macrae discloses peripheral devices located within receiving locations (central devices) fro receiving data stream, and the data stream includes electronic programming guide (EPG), and software applications located within the peripheral devices determine whether a program is scrambled or unscrambled (col. 1, lines 54-57, col. 3, lines 12-32 and col. 11, lines 10-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Macrae and Kurt to include using the electronic programming guide data stored at the central device to determine whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled in turn notify the user that such the signal is available or unavailable for selection.

5. As to claims 2, 7 and 14, Kurtz and Macrae (Kurtz-Macrae) disclose after descrambling and tuning the scrambled signal at the descrambler, performing the step

Art Unit: 2176

for sending the descrambled and tuned signal from the descrambler to the central device (Kurtz, col. 10, line 3 – col. 11, line 5).

- 6. As to claims 3 and 13, Kurtz-Macrae disclose wherein the descrambler is a cable box (Kurtz, col. 5, lines 53-67).
- 7. As to claims 4 and 10, Kurtz-Macrae disclose wherein the user input is sent to the entertainment system by a remote control device (Kurt, col. 4, lines 47 col. 5, line 21).
- 8. As to claims 15-16, Kurtz-Macrae disclose wherein receiving the signal by the entertainment system comprises receiving the signal at a single input of the central device, such that whether the signal is determined to be scramble or non-scrambled, the signal is received at the single input of the central device (Kurtz, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 12 and col. 5, lines 22-52: an entertainment having a cable signal passing through a cable converter box and which provides both premium and non-premium programming, the connector 23 is labeled "TO CABLE" which is the connection for the input signal of the cable).
- 9. As to claim 17, Kurtz-Macrae disclose an input over which both the scramble and non-scrambled signals are received (Kurtz, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 12).

Art Unit: 2176

As to claims 18-19, Kurtz-Macrae wherein the routing is automatically performed 10. upon determining from the electronic programming guide data that the signal is scrambled, and wherein the signal is automatically tuned by the internal tuner upon determining with the electronic programming guide that the signal is non-scrambled (Kurtz, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 12, col. 4, line 47 – col. 6, line 18, and Fig. 1 & Fig. 2: the premium signal is output to a converter box (descrambler); Macrae discloses peripheral devices located within receiving locations (central devices) fro receiving data stream, and the data stream includes electronic programming guide (EPG), and software applications located within the peripheral devices determine whether a program is scrambled or unscrambled (col. 1, lines 54-57, col. 3, lines 12-32 and col. 11, lines 10-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Macrae and Kurt to include using the electronic programming guide data stored at the central device to determine whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled in turn notify the user that such the signal is available or unavailable for selection).

Page 6

Response to Arguments

In the remarks, Applicants argue in substance that

(A) Prior art fails to teach using the electronic programming guide data stored at the

central device to determine whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled. (see

page 8 of remarks).

As to point (A), Kurtz discloses in the abstract and col. 2, line 37 – col. 3, line 11, col. 4,

line 47 - col. 5, line 21 and Fig. 1: an entertainment or a central device having a cable

signal passing through a cable converter box and which provides both premium and

non-premium programming, a two-way splitter supplies the signal to that converter box

to establish one source as a non-premium channel input and a second source as the

output (represents a "descrambled" version) of the converter box; the central device has

green and red light emitting diodes (LEDs) which indicate the signal is scrambled

(premium) or non-scrambled (non premium). However, Kurt does not explicitly disclose

using the electronic programming guide data stored at the central device to determine

whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled. Macrae discloses peripheral

devices located within receiving locations (central devices) fro receiving data stream,

and the data stream includes electronic programming guide (EPG), and software

applications located within the peripheral devices determine whether a program is

scrambled or unscrambled (col. 1, lines 54-57, col. 3, lines 12-32 and col. 11, lines 10-

Application/Control Number: 09/557,196 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Macrae and Kurt to include using the electronic programming guide data stored at the central device to determine whether the signal is scrambled or non-scrambled in turn notify the user that such the signal is available or unavailable for selection.

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please see the rejection and response to arguments above.

Art Unit: 2176

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4092. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am – 5:00 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 09/557,196 Page 10

Art Unit: 2176

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

SUPERVISORY PATENT CAPACIER